

# NONLINEAR FREDHOLM INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION WITH DEGENERATE KERNEL AND NONLINEAR MAXIMA

Yuldashev Tursun Kamaldinovich,  
National University of Uzbekistan  
tursun.k.yuldashev@gmail.com  
<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9346-5362>

Kholmanova Klara Yangiboy kyzy  
Djizzakh State Pedagogical Institute  
xolmanovaklara@gmail.com

**Annotation:** The initial value problem of solvability and construction of solutions of a nonlinear Fredholm integro-differential equation of first order with degenerate kernel and nonlinear maxima are considered. Using the method of degenerate kernel in combination it with the method of regularization, we obtain an implicit functional-differential equation of first order with nonlinear maxima. We use initial boundary conditions to ensure the uniqueness of the solution. In order to use the method of a successive approximations and prove the one value solvability, we transform the obtained implicit functional-differential equation to the nonlinear Volterra type integro-differential equation with nonlinear maxima. The one value solvability of the problem is proved.

**Keywords:** Integro-differential equation, first order, nonlinear functional-differential equation, degenerate kernel, nonlinear maxima, regularization, one value solvability.

## Formulation of the problem

Integro-differential equations as integral and differential equations are mathematical models of the many physical processes and the operation in technical systems. In application of integro-differential equations the analytical and iterative methods play an important role [1-8].

In this paper, we study the initial value problem of one value solvability and construction of solutions of a nonlinear Fredholm integro-differential equation of first order with degenerate kernel and nonlinear maxima. When a kernel of integral is degenerate, it is easy to replace the given equation by implicit differential equation, which is convenient to transform into Volterra integro-differential equation for solving by the method of successive approximations. The integral and integro-differential equations with degenerate kernels were considered by many authors (see, for example [9-21]).

So, in our paper, using the method of degenerate kernel in combination it with the regularization method, we obtain an implicit functional-differential equation with nonlinear maxima. As you know, Fredholm functional integro-differential equation of first kind is ill-posed. So, we use initial boundary conditions to ensure the uniqueness of the solution. In order to use the method of a successive approximations, we transform the implicit functional-differential equation to the nonlinear Volterra type functional integro-differential equation, which is ill-posed, too. The one value solvability of this problem we have proved by the given initial boundary conditions.

On the segment  $[0;T]$  the following nonlinear Fredholm integro-differential equation of first kind and first order is considered

$$\lambda \int_0^T K(t,s) F \left( s, u(s), \max \left\{ u(\tau) \mid \tau \in [h_1(s, u(s)); h_2(s, u(s))] \right\}, \int_0^s R(\theta) \dot{u}(\theta) d\theta \right) ds = f(t) \quad (1)$$

under the following conditions

$$\begin{cases} u(0) = \varphi_{01} = const, \\ \dot{u}(0) = \varphi_{02} = const, \\ u(t) = \varphi_1(t), t \in [-h_{01}; 0], \\ u(t) = \varphi_2(t), t \in [T; T + h_{02}], \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where  $0 < T$  is given real number,  $\lambda$  is nonzero parameter of marching,  $F(t, u, v, \mathcal{G}) \in C([0;T] \times X \times X \times X)$ ,  $h_i(t, u) \in C([0;T] \times X)$ ,

$$-h_{01} < h_1(t, u) < h_2(t, u) < T + h_{02}, \quad 0 < h_{0i} = const, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad 0 < \int_0^t R(s) ds < \infty,$$

$$\varphi_1(t) \in C[-h_{01}; 0], \quad \varphi_2(t) \in C[T; T + h_{02}], \quad K(t, s) = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i(t) b_i(s),$$

$0 \neq a_i(t), b_i(s) \in C[0;T]$ ,  $X$  is closed set on real number set. Here it is assumed that each of the systems of functions  $a_i(t)$ ,  $i = \overline{1, k}$ , and  $b_i(s)$ ,  $i = \overline{1, k}$ , linearly independent,  $\varphi_1(0) = \varphi_{01}$ ,  $\varphi_2(T) = u(T)$ .

### Method of degenerate kernel

Taking into account the degeneracy of the kernel, equation (1) is written in the following form

$$\lambda \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^k a_i(t) b_i(s) F \left( s, u(s), \max \left\{ u(\tau) \mid \tau \in [h_1(s, u(s)); h_2(s, u(s))] \right\}, \int_0^s R(\theta) \dot{u}(\theta) d\theta \right) ds = f(t). \quad (3)$$

Using the notation

$$\mathcal{G}(t) = F \left( t, u(t), \max \left\{ u(\tau) \mid \tau \in [h_1(t, u(t)); h_2(t, u(t))] \right\}, \int_0^t R(s) \dot{u}(s) ds \right) \quad (4)$$

and introducing new unknown function  $\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon(t)$ , we obtain from (3) approximation Fredholm second kind integral equation with small parameter

$$\varepsilon \mathcal{G}_\varepsilon(t) = f(t) - \lambda \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^k a_i(t) b_i(s) \mathcal{G}_\varepsilon(s) ds, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{G}_\varepsilon(t) = \mathcal{G}(t), \quad (6)$$

$0 < \varepsilon$  is small parameter.

Using the new notation

$$\alpha_i = \int_0^T b_i(s) \mathcal{G}_\varepsilon(s) ds, \quad (7)$$

the integral equation (5) can be rewritten as follows

$$\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon(t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[ f(t) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^k a_i(t) \alpha_i \right]. \quad (8)$$

Substituting (8) into (7), we obtain the system of linear equations (SLE)

$$\alpha_i + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j A_{ij} = B_i, \quad i = \overline{1, k}, \quad (9)$$

where

$$A_{ij} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T b_i(s) a_j(s) ds, \quad B_i = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T b_i(s) f(s) ds. \quad (10)$$

Consider the following determinants:

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 + \lambda A_{11} & A_{12} & \dots & A_{1k} \\ A_{21} & 1 + \lambda A_{22} & \dots & A_{2k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ A_{k1} & A_{k2} & \dots & 1 + \lambda A_{kk} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0, \quad (11)$$

$$\Delta_i(\lambda) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 + \lambda A_{11} & \dots & A_{1(i-1)} & B_1 & A_{1(i+1)} & \dots & A_{1k} \\ A_{21} & \dots & A_{2(i-1)} & B_2 & A_{2(i+1)} & \dots & A_{1k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ A_{k1} & \dots & A_{k(i-1)} & B_k & A_{k(i+1)} & \dots & 1 + \lambda A_{kk} \end{vmatrix}, \quad i = \overline{1, k}.$$

SLE (9) is uniquely soluble for any finite right-hand sides, if the nondegeneracy condition (11) of the Fredholm determinant is fulfilled. The determinant  $\Delta(\lambda)$  in (11) is a polynomial with respect to  $\lambda$  of degree not higher  $k$ . The equation  $\Delta(\lambda) = 0$  has

at most  $k$  different real roots. We denote them by  $\mu_l (l = \overline{1, p}, 1 \leq p \leq k)$ . Then  $\lambda = \mu_l$  called irregular values of the second spectral parameter  $\lambda$ . Other values of the spectral parameter  $\lambda \neq \mu_l$  are called regular. The solutions of SLE (9) for regular values of parameter  $\lambda$  are written as

$$\alpha_i = \frac{\Delta_i(\lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)}, \quad i = \overline{1, k}. \quad (12)$$

Substituting (12) into (8), we obtain

$$\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon(t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[ f(t) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^k a_i(t) \frac{\Delta_i(\lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)} \right]. \quad (13)$$

By virtue of formula (10), we suppose that

$$f(t) = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^k a_i(t) c_i, \quad c_i - \lambda \frac{\Delta_i(\lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)} = \varepsilon C_i, \quad (14)$$

where  $c_i, C_i = \text{const}, i = \overline{1, k}$ .

The parameter  $\lambda$  is marching parameter between free term function  $f(t)$  and kernel of integral equation (1). So, we choose one of the regular values of the parameter  $\lambda$  such that the first of condition (14) is fulfilled. Then, taking into account limit passing formula (6), from (13) we obtain

$$\mathcal{G}(t) = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^k C_i a_i(t). \quad (15)$$

Now the function  $\mathcal{G}(t)$  is known and defines by the formula (15). So, we solve the implicit functional equation (4). We rewrite this implicit equation as

$$G \left( t, u(t), \max \left\{ u(\tau) \mid \tau \in [h_1(t, u(t)); h_2(t, u(t))] \right\}, \int_0^t R(s) \dot{u}(s) ds \right) = 0 \quad (16)$$

with given conditions (2), where  $G = F - \mathcal{G}$ .

### **Transform into nonlinear Volterra type integral equation**

In solving the implicit functional equation (16) we use the method of successive approximations in combination it with the method of compressing mapping. However, it is impossible to directly apply the method of successive approximations to the equation (16) with nonlinear deviation. Therefore, in this work we propose the following method. On the segment  $[0; T]$  we take arbitrary positive defined and continuous function  $K_0(t)$ . We introduce the notation

$$\psi(t, s) = \int_s^t K_0(\theta) d\theta, \quad \psi(t, 0) = \psi(t), \quad t \in [0; T].$$

It is obvious that  $\psi(t, s) = \psi(t) - \psi(s)$ . By the solution of equation (1) we mean a continuous function  $u(t)$  on the segment  $[0; T]$  that satisfies equation (1) with the given conditions (2) and the Lipschitz condition:

$$\max \left\{ \|u(t) - u(s)\|; \|\dot{u}(t) - \dot{u}(s)\| \right\} \leq L_0 |t - s|, \quad (17)$$

where  $0 < L_0 = \text{const}$ ,  $\|u(t)\| = \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |u(t)|$ .

We write the implicit equation (16) as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u}(t) + \int_0^t K_0(s) \dot{u}(s) ds &= \dot{u}(t) + \int_0^t K_0(s) \dot{u}(s) ds + \\ &+ G \left( t, u(t), \max \left\{ u(\tau) \mid \tau \in [h_1(t, u(t)); h_2(t, u(t))] \right\}, \int_0^t R(s) \dot{u}(s) ds \right), \quad t \in [0; T]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using resolvent of the kernel  $[-K_0(s)]$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u}(t) &= \dot{u}(t) + \\ &+ \int_0^t K_0(s) \dot{u}(s) ds + G \left( t, u(t), \max \left\{ u(\tau) \mid \tau \in [h_1(t, u(t)); h_2(t, u(t))] \right\}, \int_0^t R(s) \dot{u}(s) ds \right) + \\ &+ \int_0^t K_0(s) \exp\{-\psi(t, s)\} \left\{ -\dot{u}(s) + \int_0^s K_0(\theta) \dot{u}(\theta) d\theta - \right. \\ &\left. - G \left( s, u(s), \max \left\{ u(\tau) \mid \tau \in [h_1(s, u(s)); h_2(s, u(s))] \right\}, \int_0^s R(\theta) \dot{u}(\theta) d\theta \right) \right\} ds. \quad (18) \end{aligned}$$

Applying Dirichlet's formula to (18) (see [21]), we derive the following Volterra type nonlinear functional-integro-differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u}(t) &= \mathfrak{I}_1(t; \dot{u}) \equiv \int_0^t H(t, s) \dot{u}(s) ds + \exp\{-\psi(t)\} \times \\ &\times \left[ \dot{u}(t) + G \left( t, u(t), \max \left\{ u(\tau) \mid \tau \in [h_1(t, u(t)); h_2(t, u(t))] \right\}, \int_0^t R(s) \dot{u}(s) ds \right) \right] + \\ &+ \int_0^t K_0(s) \exp\{-\psi(t, s)\} \left\{ \dot{u}(t) - \dot{u}(s) + \right. \\ &+ G \left( t, u(t), \max \left\{ u(\tau) \mid \tau \in [h_1(t, u(t)); h_2(t, u(t))] \right\}, \int_0^t R(s) \dot{u}(s) ds \right) - \\ &\left. - G \left( s, u(s), \max \left\{ u(\tau) \mid \tau \in [h_1(s, u(s)); h_2(s, u(s))] \right\}, \int_0^s R(\theta) \dot{u}(\theta) d\theta \right) \right\} ds, \quad (19) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$H(t, s) = K_0(s) \exp\{-\psi(t, s)\} - \int_s^t K_0(\theta) \exp\{-\psi(t, \theta)\} d\theta. \quad (20)$$

By integrating functional-integro-differential equation (19) on the interval  $(0; t)$  with initial condition  $u(0) = \varphi_{01}$  we obtain the following functional-integro-differential equation

$$\begin{aligned}
u(t) = \mathfrak{I}_2(t; u) \equiv & \varphi_{01} + \int_0^t (t-s)H(t, s)\dot{u}(s) ds + \\
& + \int_0^t \left[ \dot{u}(s) + G \left( s, u(s), \max \left\{ u(\tau) \mid \tau \in [h_1(s, u(s)); h_2(s, u(s))] \right\}, \int_0^s R(\theta)\dot{u}(\theta) d\theta \right) \right] \times \\
& \times \exp\{-\psi(s)\} ds + \int_0^t (t-s)K_0(s) \exp\{-\psi(t, s)\} \{ \dot{u}(t) - \dot{u}(s) + \\
& + G \left( t, u(t), \max \left\{ u(\tau) \mid \tau \in [h_1(t, u(t)); h_2(t, u(t))] \right\}, \int_0^t R(s)\dot{u}(s) ds \right) - \\
& - G \left( s, u(s), \max \left\{ u(\tau) \mid \tau \in [h_1(s, u(s)); h_2(s, u(s))] \right\}, \int_0^s R(\theta)\dot{u}(\theta) d\theta \right) \} ds. \quad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

**Remark.** The nonlinear functional-integro-differential equations (19) and (21) are ill-posed [Non2], so we will study it with given conditions (2). In addition, we consider the conditions (2) as  $u(t-0) = u(t+0)$  at the points  $t=0$  and  $t=T$ .

Let be fulfilled the conditions (11) and (14). Then, instead of the Fredholm functional-integro-differential equation of first kind (1) we will study the Volterra type functional-integro-differential equations (19) and (21) with conditions (2).

**Theorem.** Let be fulfilled the conditions (17) and

- 1).  $\|G(t, u(t), v(t), \mathcal{G}(t))\| \leq M_0, \quad 0 < M_0 = \text{const};$
- 2).  $\left| G(t, u_1(t), v_1(t), \mathcal{G}_1(t)) - G(t, u_2(t), v_2(t), \mathcal{G}_2(t)) \right| \leq$   
 $\leq L_1(t) \left( |u_1(t) - u_2(t)| + |v_1(t) - v_2(t)| + |\mathcal{G}_1(t) - \mathcal{G}_2(t)| \right);$
- 3).  $\left| h_i(t, u_1(t)) - h_i(t, u_2(t)) \right| \leq L_{2i}(t) |u_1(t) - u_2(t)|, \quad 0 < L_{2i}(t) \in C[0; T], \quad i = 1, 2;$
- 4).  $\rho < 1$ , where  $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} [P_1(t) + P_2(t) + V_1(t) + V_2(t)],$   
 $P_1(t) = L_1(t) [2 + L_0(L_{21}(t) + L_{22}(t))] Q(t, 0),$   
 $P_2(t) = \int_0^t Q(t, s) ds + \left( 1 + L_1(t) \int_0^t R(s) ds \right) Q(t, 0),$   
 $Q(t, s) = \exp\{-\psi(t)\} + 2 \int_0^t K_0(s) \cdot \exp\{-\psi(t, s)\} ds;$   
 $V_1(t) = L_1(t) [2 + L_0(L_{21}(t) + L_{22}(t))] \tilde{Q}(t),$

$$V_2(t) = \int_0^t (t-s)Q(t,s)ds + \left(1 + L_1(t) \int_0^t R(s)ds\right) \tilde{Q}(t),$$

$$\tilde{Q}(t) = \int_0^t \exp\{-\psi(s)\} ds + 2 \int_0^t (t-s)K_0(s) \exp\{-\psi(t,s)\} ds.$$

Then the nonlinear functional-integro-differential equation (21) with conditions (2) has a unique solution on the segment  $[0;T]$ .

**Proof.** We suppose that Picard iteration process for functional-integro-differential equations (19) and (21) is given by

$$\dot{u}_0(t) = \varphi_{02}, \quad t \in [0;T], \quad \dot{u}_{n+1}(t) = \mathfrak{S}_1(t; \dot{u}_n), \quad n \in N, \quad t \in [0;T], \quad (22)$$

$$\begin{cases} u_0(t) = \varphi_1(t), & t \in [-h_1; 0], \\ u_0(t) = \varphi_{01}, & t \in [0;T], \\ u_0(t) = \varphi_2(t), & t \in [T; T+h_2], \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} u_{n+1}(t) = \varphi_1(t), & t \in [-h_1; 0], \\ u_{n+1}(t) = \mathfrak{S}_2(t; u_n), & n \in N, \quad t \in [0;T], \\ u_{n+1}(t) = \varphi_2(t), & t \in [T; T+h_2], \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

First, we take estimate for the function  $H(t,s)$ , given by formula (20):

$$|H(t,s)| \leq K_0(s) \cdot \exp\{-\psi(t,s)\} + 2 \int_s^t K_0(\theta) \exp\{-\psi(t,\theta)\} d\theta = Q(t,s). \quad (24)$$

It is obvious that the following estimate is true

$$\|\dot{u}_0(t)\| \leq |\varphi_{02}| < \infty. \quad (25)$$

$$\|u_0(t)\| \leq \max \left\{ |\varphi_{01}|; \max_{-h_1 \leq t \leq 0} |\varphi_1(t)|; \max_{T \leq t \leq T+h_2} |\varphi_2(t)| \right\} = \Delta_0 < \infty. \quad (26)$$

By virtue of conditions of theorem and Picard processes (22) and (23), by using estimates (25) and (26), for the first approximations we obtain the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} |\dot{u}_1(t)| &\leq \int_0^t \|H(t,s)\| \cdot \|\dot{u}_0(s)\| ds + \exp\{-\psi(t)\} \times \\ &\times \left[ \|\dot{u}_0(t)\| + \left\| G \left( t, u_0(t), \max \left\{ u_0(\tau) \mid \tau \in [h_1(t, u_0(t)); h_2(t, u_0(t))] \right\}, \int_0^t R(s) \dot{u}_0(s) ds \right) \right\| + \\ &\quad + \int_0^t K_0(s) \exp\{-\psi(t,s)\} \times \\ &\times \left[ \|\dot{u}_0(t) - \dot{u}_0(s)\| + 2 \left\| G \left( t, u_0(t), \max \left\{ u_0(\tau) \mid \tau \in [h_1(t, u_0(t)); h_2(t, u_0(t))] \right\}, \int_0^t R(s) \dot{u}_0(s) ds \right) \right\| \right] ds \\ &\leq |\varphi_{02}| \int_0^t Q(t,s) ds + (|\varphi_{02}| + M_0) \cdot \exp\{-\psi(t)\} + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_0^t K_0(s) \cdot \exp\{-\psi(t,s)\} (L_0|t-s| + 2M_0) ds \leq \\
& \leq |\varphi_{02}| \int_0^t Q(t,s) ds + \Delta_{11} Q(t,0),
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{11} = \max\{|\varphi_{02}| + M_0; L_0 T + 2M_0\}; \\
& |u_1(t)| \leq \Delta_0 + \int_0^t \|(t-s)H(t,s)\| \cdot \|\dot{u}_0(s)\| ds + \int_0^t \exp\{-\psi(s)\} \left[ \|\dot{u}_0(s)\| + \right. \\
& + \left. \left\| G\left(s, u_0(s), \max\{u_0(\tau) \mid \tau \in [h_1(s, u_0(s)); h_2(s, u_0(s))]\}, \int_0^s R(\theta) \dot{u}_0(\theta) d\theta\right)\right\| \right] ds + \\
& + \int_0^t (t-s) K_0(s) \exp\{-\psi(t,s)\} \left[ \|\dot{u}_0(t) - \dot{u}_0(s)\| + \right. \\
& + 2 \left\| G\left(t, u_0(t), \max\{u_0(\tau) \mid \tau \in [h_1(t, u_0(t)); h_2(t, u_0(t))]\}, \int_0^t R(s) \dot{u}(s) ds\right)\right\| \right] ds \leq \\
& \leq \Delta_0 + |\varphi_{02}| \int_0^t (t-s) Q(t,s) ds + (|\varphi_{02}| + M_0) \int_0^t \exp\{-\psi(s)\} ds + \\
& + \int_0^t (t-s) K_0(s) \cdot \exp\{-\psi(t,s)\} (L_0|t-s| + 2M_0) ds \leq \\
& \leq \Delta_0 + |\varphi_{02}| \int_0^t (t-s) Q(t,s) ds + \Delta_{11} \tilde{Q}(t),
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

where

$$\tilde{Q}(t) = \int_0^t \exp\{-\psi(s)\} ds + 2 \int_0^t (t-s) K_0(s) \exp\{-\psi(t,s)\} ds.$$

By virtue of first and second conditions of theorem, analogously to estimates (27) and (28) for arbitrary difference of approximations we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\dot{u}_{n+1}(t) - \dot{u}_n(t)| \leq \int_0^t \|H(t,s)\| \cdot \|\dot{u}_n(s) - \dot{u}_{n-1}(s)\| ds + \\
& + \exp\{-\psi(t)\} \left[ \|\dot{u}_n(t) - \dot{u}_{n-1}(t)\| + L_1(t) (\|u_n(t) - u_{n-1}(t)\| + \right. \\
& + \left. \left\| \max\{u_n(\tau) \mid \tau \in [h_1(t, u_n(t)); h_2(t, u_n(t))]\} - \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - \max\{u_{n-1}(\tau) \mid \tau \in [h_1(t, u_{n-1}(t)); h_2(t, u_{n-1}(t))]\} \right\| + \int_0^t R(s) \|\dot{u}_n(s) - \dot{u}_{n-1}(s)\| ds \right] +
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& +2 \int_0^t K_0(s) \exp\{-\psi(t,s)\} \left[ \|\dot{u}_n(s) - \dot{u}_{n-1}(s)\| + L_1(s) (\|u_n(s) - u_{n-1}(s)\| + \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left\| \max \left\{ u_n(\tau) \mid \tau \in [h_1(s, u_n(s)); h_2(s, u_n(s))] \right\} - \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. - \max \left\{ u_{n-1}(\tau) \mid \tau \in [h_1(s, u_{n-1}(s)); h_2(s, u_{n-1}(s))] \right\} \right\| + \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \int_0^s R(\theta) \|\dot{u}_n(\theta) - \dot{u}_{n-1}(\theta)\| d\theta \right) \right] ds. \tag{29}
\end{aligned}$$

To continue estimate the norm in (29) we use condition (17) and third condition of the theorem. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \max \left\{ u_n(\tau) \mid \tau \in [h_1(s, u_n(s)); h_2(s, u_n(s))] \right\} - \right. \\
& \left. - \max \left\{ u_{n-1}(\tau) \mid \tau \in [h_1(s, u_{n-1}(s)); h_2(s, u_{n-1}(s))] \right\} \right\| \leq \\
& \leq \left\| \max \left\{ u_n(\tau) \mid \tau \in [h_1(s, u_n(s)); h_2(s, u_n(s))] \right\} - \right. \\
& \left. - \max \left\{ u_{n-1}(\tau) \mid \tau \in [h_1(s, u_n(s)); h_2(s, u_n(s))] \right\} \right\| + \\
& + \left\| \max \left\{ u_{n-1}(\tau) \mid \tau \in [h_1(s, u_n(s)); h_2(s, u_n(s))] \right\} - \right. \\
& \left. - \max \left\{ u_{n-1}(\tau) \mid \tau \in [h_1(s, u_{n-1}(s)); h_2(s, u_{n-1}(s))] \right\} \right\| \leq \\
& \leq \left\| \max \left\{ |u_n(\tau) - u_{n-1}(\tau)| : \tau \in [h_1(s, u_n(s)); h_2(s, u_n(s))] \right\} \right\| + \\
& \quad + L_0 \sum_{i=1}^2 \|h_i(s, u_n(s)) - h_i(s, u_{n-1}(s))\| \leq \\
& \leq [1 + L_0(L_{21}(s) + L_{22}(s))] \|u_n(s) - u_{n-1}(s)\|. \tag{30}
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (30) into (29), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\dot{u}_{n+1}(t) - \dot{u}_n(t)| \leq \int_0^t Q(t,s) \|\dot{u}_n(s) - \dot{u}_{n-1}(s)\| ds + \exp\{-\psi(t)\} \times \\
& \times \left[ L_1(t) [2 + L_0(L_{21}(t) + L_{22}(t))] \|u_n(t) - u_{n-1}(t)\| + \left( 1 + L_1(t) \int_0^t R(s) ds \right) \|\dot{u}_n(t) - \dot{u}_{n-1}(t)\| \right] + \\
& + 2 \int_0^t K_0(s) \cdot \exp\{-\psi(t,s)\} \left[ L_1(s) [2 + L_0(L_{21}(s) + L_{22}(s))] \|u_n(s) - u_{n-1}(s)\| + \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left( 1 + L_1(s) \int_0^s R(\theta) d\theta \right) \|\dot{u}_n(s) - \dot{u}_{n-1}(s)\| \right] ds \leq \\
& \leq P_1(t) \|u_n(t) - u_{n-1}(t)\| + P_2(t) \|\dot{u}_n(t) - \dot{u}_{n-1}(t)\|, \tag{31}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$P_1(t) = L_1(t) [2 + L_0(L_{21}(t) + L_{22}(t))] Q(t, 0),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_2(t) &= \int_0^t Q(t,s) ds + \left( 1 + L_1(t) \int_0^t R(s) ds \right) Q(t,0), \\
Q(t,s) &= \exp\{-\psi(t)\} + 2 \int_0^t K_0(s) \cdot \exp\{-\psi(t,s)\} ds; \\
|u_{n+1}(t) - u_n(t)| &\leq \int_0^t (t-s) Q(t,s) \|\dot{u}_n(s) - \dot{u}_{n-1}(s)\| ds + \\
&+ \int_0^t \exp\{-\psi(s)\} \left[ L_1(s) \left[ 2 + L_0(L_{21}(s) + L_{22}(s)) \right] \|u_n(s) - u_{n-1}(s)\| + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left( 1 + L_1(s) \int_0^s R(\theta) d\theta \right) \|\dot{u}_n(s) - \dot{u}_{n-1}(s)\| \right] ds + \\
&\quad + 2 \int_0^t (t-s) K_0(s) \cdot \exp\{-\psi(t,s)\} \times \\
&\quad \times \left[ L_1(s) \left[ 2 + L_0(L_{21}(s) + L_{22}(s)) \right] \|u_n(s) - u_{n-1}(s)\| + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left( 1 + L_1(s) \int_0^t R(s) ds \right) \|\dot{u}_n(s) - \dot{u}_{n-1}(s)\| \right] ds \leq \\
&\leq V_1(t) \|u_n(t) - u_{n-1}(t)\| + V_2(t) \|\dot{u}_n(t) - \dot{u}_{n-1}(t)\|, \tag{32}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
V_1(t) &= L_1(t) \left[ 2 + L_0(L_{21}(t) + L_{22}(t)) \right] \tilde{Q}(t), \\
V_2(t) &= \int_0^t (t-s) Q(t,s) ds + \left( 1 + L_1(t) \int_0^t R(s) ds \right) \tilde{Q}(t), \\
\tilde{Q}(t) &= \int_0^t \exp\{-\psi(s)\} ds + 2 \int_0^t (t-s) K_0(s) \exp\{-\psi(t,s)\} ds.
\end{aligned}$$

From the estimates (31) and (32) follows that

$$\|U_{n+1}(t) - U_n(t)\| \leq \rho \cdot \|U_n(t) - U_{n-1}(t)\|, \tag{33}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\|U_{n+1}(t) - U_n(t)\| &\leq \max \left\{ \|u_{n+1}(t) - u_n(t)\|; \|\dot{u}_{n+1}(t) - \dot{u}_n(t)\| \right\}, \\
\rho &= \frac{1}{2} \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} [P_1(t) + P_2(t) + V_1(t) + V_2(t)].
\end{aligned}$$

In choosing the function  $K_0(t)$  we take into account that

$$\psi(t,s) = \int_s^t K_0(\theta) d\theta \square 1, \quad t \in [0; T].$$

Hence, we obtain that  $\exp\{-\psi(t)\} \square 1$ . So, the functions  $H(t,s)$  and  $Q(t,s)$  are

small. Then the functions  $L_1(t), L_{2i}(t), i = 1, 2$  we can choose such that  $\rho < 1$  and last condition of the theorem is fulfilled. We consider the solution of the integral equations (19) and (21) in the space of continuous functions  $C[0;T]$ , satisfying condition (17). Since  $\|u_{n+1}(t) - u_n(t)\| \leq \|U_{n+1}(t) - U_n(t)\|$ , it follows from the estimate (33) that the integral operator on the right-hand side of (21) with conditions (2) is compressing mapping. So, from the estimates (25)--(28) and (33) implies that the integral equation (21) with conditions (2) has a unique solution on the segment  $[0;T]$ . The theorem is proved.

### Conclusion

In this paper, we studied the problems of one value solvability and construction of solutions of a nonlinear Fredholm first kind functional integro-differential equation (1) of first order with degenerate kernel and nonlinear maxima. This Fredholm functional-integro-differential equation is ill-posed. So, we use boundary conditions (2) to ensure the uniqueness of the solution. First, using the method of degenerate kernel, we obtained the implicit functional-differential equation (16). In order to use the method of successive approximations we reduce the implicit functional-differential equation (16) with nonlinear maxima to the nonlinear Volterra type first order functional integro-differential equation. So, the feature of this paper is such that first kind nonlinear Fredholm functional integro-differential equation (1) was replaced by the Volterra type functional integro-differential equation (21).

The nonlinear functional integro-differential equation (21) we conditionally called as a second kind Volterra type nonlinear functional integro-differential equation of first order. Because this Volterra type integro-differential equation (21) is ill-posed, too. So, we studied it by the given conditions (2). In addition, in the conditions (2) we suppose the continuous gluing conditions that  $u(t-0) = u(t+0)$  at the points  $t = 0$  and  $t = T$ .

Let be fulfilled the conditions (11) and (14). Then, instead of the first kind Fredholm functional integro-differential equation of first order (1) we will study the second kind Volterra type functional integro-differential equation of first order (21) with conditions (2). The theorem of one value solvability of the problem (1), (2) was proved.

### Reference

1. Bakirova, E. A., Assanova, A. T., Kadirbayeva, Z. M. A problem with parameter for the integro-differential equations. *Mathematical Modelling and Analysis*, 2021. 26 (1), 34-54.
2. Efendiev M., Vougalter V. Solvability of some integro-differential equations with

drift. Osaka J. Math. 2020. 57, 247-265.

3. El-Sayed A.M.A., Aahmedb R.G., Solvability of the functional integro-differential equation with self-reference and state-dependence. Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications. 2020. 13. p. 1-8.

4. Sidorov N., Sidorov D., Dreglea A., Solvability and bifurcation of solutions of nonlinear equations with Fredholm operator. Symmetry. 2020. 12 (6), ID 920, 1-21.

5. Rojas E.M., Sidorov N.A., Sinitsyn A.V, A boundary value problem for noninsulated magnetic regime in a vacuum diode. Symmetry. 2020. 12 (4), ID 617 14 pp.

6. Zhang Y. Solvability of a class of integro-differential equations and connections to one-dimensional inverse problems. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications. 2006. Vol. 321, Iss.1, p. 286-298.

7. Falaleev M.V., Fundamental operator-valued functions of singular integrodifferential operators in Banach spaces, J. Math. Sciences. 2018. 230 (5). P. 782-785.

8. Falaleev M.V., Orlov S.S., Degenerate integro-differential operators in Banach spaces and their applications. Russian Math. (Iz. VUZ). 2011. 55 (10). P. 59-69.

9. Assanova A. T., Bakirova E. A. and Kadirbayeva Z. M. Numerical solution to a control problem for integro-differential equations, Comput. Math. and Math. Phys., 2020. Vol. 60. No. 2. P. 203-221.

10. Dzhumabaev D. S., New general solutions to linear Fredholm integro-differential equations and their applications on solving the boundary value problems. Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 327, No. 1. (2018), 79-108

11. Dzhumabaev D. S., Mynbayeva S. T., New general solution to a nonlinear Fredholm integro-differential equation. Eurasian Math. J., Vol. 10, No. 4. (2019), 24-33

12. Dzhumabaev D. S., Mynbayeva S. T., One approach to solve a nonlinear boundary value problem for the Fredholm integro-differential equation. Bulletin of the Karaganda university-Mathematics, Vol. 97, No. 1. (2020), 27-36

13. Dzhumabaev D. S., Zharmagambetov A. S., Numerical method for solving a

linear boundary value problem for Fredholm integro-differential equations. News of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan-Series Physico-Mathematical, Vol. 2(312). (2017), 5-11

14. Yuldashev T. K., Nonlocal mixed-value problem for a Boussinesq-type integrodifferential equation with degenerate kernel. Ukrainian Mathematical Journal. Vol. 68, No. 8. (2016), 1278-1296

15. Yuldashev T. K., Mixed problem for pseudoparabolic integrodifferential equation with degenerate kernel. Differential equations. Vol. 53, No. 1. (2017), 99-108

16. Yuldashev T. K., Determination of the coefficient and boundary regime in boundary value problem for integro-differential equation with degenerate kernel. Lobachevskii journal of mathematics, Vol. 38, No. 3, (2017), 547-553

17. Yuldashev T. K., Nonlocal boundary value problem for a nonlinear Fredholm integro-differential equation with degenerate kernel. Differential equations. Vol. 54, No. 12. (2018), 1646-1653

18. Yuldashev T. K., Spectral features of the solving of a Fredholm homogeneous integro-differential equation with integral conditions and reflecting deviation. Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics. Vol. 40, No. 12 (2019), 2116-2123

19. Yuldashev T. K., On the solvability of a boundary value problem for the ordinary Fredholm integrodifferential equation with a degenerate kernel. Computational Mathematics and Math. Physics, Vol. 59. No. 2. (2019), 241-252 ,

20. Yuldashev T. K., On a boundary-value problem for a fourth-order partial integro-differential equation with degenerate kernel. Journal of Mathematical Sciences. Vol. 245, No. 4. (2020), 508-523,

21. Imanaliev M.I., Asanov A. Regularization, Uniqueness and Existence of Solution for Volterra Integral Equations of First Kind. Studies by Integro-Diff. Equations. Vol. 21. Frunze, Ilim, 1988. P. 3-38 [in Russian]

22. Lavrent'ev M.M., Romanov V.G., Shishatskii S.R. Noncorrect problems of mathematical physics and analysis. Moscow, Science, 1980 [in Russian]